FILED KENNETH THOMAS PEREZ, ESTATE Office of the General Executor General Delivery 2016 APR 15 PM 12: 42 In Care Of: 535 Box Canyon Road. 3 Canoga Park, California [91304-9998] CENTRAL DIST. OF CAL'F. 4 Ken.Perez1951@gmail.com 5 Plaintiff in Propria Persona 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Kenneth, on Behalf of Himself, 11 -02604FMO(RAOX) 12 Plaintiff. D COMPLAINT FOR 13 ENT INJUNCTION. VS. 14 AFNI, EQUIFAX, TRANSUNION, RESTITUTION AND OTHER **EQUITABLE RELIEF** 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 APR 15 2016

COMES NOW, Kenneth, Plaintiff, a man over the age of majority, in His right mind, without waiving any rights or remedies at law or in equity, and without waiving any defects or deficiencies, and here and after referred to as "Plaintiff," and for His Verified Complaint against the Defendants, AFNI, ("AFNI"), EQUIFAX ("Equifax"), and TRANSUNION ("Transunion") declares as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an action for negligence, defamation, and violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq., arising out of AFNI's false reporting to Equifax and Transunion of an alleged delinquent debt of the Plaintiff, and Equifax's and Transunion's failure to correct AFNI's false reporting on Plaintiff's Equifax and Transunion credit report and out of the invasion of Plaintiff's personal and financial privacy by the Defendants.

II. PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff is currently and was at all relevant times domiciled in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 3. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 4. Defendant, AFNI, is a corporation doing business in the state of Illinois with its principal place of business at: 404 Brock Drive. Bloomington, Illinois 61701.
- 5. AFNI is a "furnisher of information" as that term is defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b).
- 6. Defendant, Equifax, is a corporation organized under the laws of the United States of America and is headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia.
- 7. Equifax is a "consumer reporting agency that compiles and maintains files on consumers on a nationwide basis" as that term is defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(o).

- 8. Equifax is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating and dispensing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing "consumer reports," as that term is defined at 15 U.S.C. §1681a(d), to third parties.
- 9. Defendant, TransUnion, is a corporation organized under the laws of the United States of America and is headquartered in Chicago, Illinois.
- 10. TransUnion is a "consumer reporting agency that compiles and maintains files on consumers on a nationwide basis" as that term is defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(o).
- 11. TransUnion is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating and dispensing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing "consumer reports," as that term is defined at 15 U.S.C. §1681a(d), to third parties.

III. JURISDICTION

12. This Court has jurisdiction over this action: (1) pursuant to the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681(p); (2) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331; and (3) because the transactions and occurrences giving rise to this action occurred in Los Angeles County, California as a result of the Defendants doing business in California.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 13. On or around November 2015, Plaintiff became aware that Defendants were reporting negative/derogatory remarks on Plaintiff's personal credit.
- 14. On or around November 2015, Plaintiff demanded debt validation/verification from Defendants.
- 15. Over the course of several months, Defendants were never able to validate the alleged debt against Plaintiff.
- 16. Plaintiff requested Defendants to remove the negative/derogatory remark on His personal credit after a debt validation could not be shown.

- 17. On or around December 8, 2015, Defendants AFNI reported to Defendants Equifax and Transunion that the alleged debt was "verified" but not "validated".
- 18. As of the date of this verified complaint, no deletion has occurred and Plaintiff continues to be harmed by the actions of Defendants.
- 19. Despite Plaintiff's lawful request for removal of the disputed item pursuant to the FCRA, Equifax failed to remove the disputed item from Plaintiff's credit report. Upon information and belief, Equifax did not evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence, and did not make any and/or sufficient attempts to remove the disputed item within a reasonable time following Equifax's receipt of Plaintiff's dispute.
- 20. Despite Plaintiff's lawful request for removal of the disputed item pursuant to the FCRA, Transunion failed to remove the disputed item from Plaintiff's credit report. Upon information and belief, Transunion did not evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence, and did not make any and/or sufficient attempts to remove the disputed item within a reasonable time following Equifax's receipt of Plaintiff's dispute.
- 21. Defendants actions have damaged Plaintiff in that Plaintiff has been denied credit and/or has been forced to pay a high rate of interest for credit due to the Defendants' false reporting of Plaintiff's alleged delinquency on the AFNI account.

V. CLAIMS

Negligence - AFNI

- 22. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. AFNI 's false reporting to Equifax regarding the alleged delinquent debt was negligent under applicable law. In falsely reporting the alleged debt as delinquent,

AFNI breached its duty to Plaintiff to report accurate information regarding Plaintiff's credit history and acted with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights.

Plaintiff to punitive damages therefor.

7 | 1

24. AFNI's false reporting to Equifax regarding the alleged delinquent debt of the Plaintiff has caused damage to Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, humiliation and embarrassment, a substantial decline in Plaintiff's credit rating, and other compensatory and consequential damages. AFNI's false reporting to Equifax regarding the alleged delinquent debt of the Plaintiff was willful and wanton, entitling

Negligence – Equifax

- 25. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 24 as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Equifax's failure to remove AFNI's false report of Plaintiff's alleged delinquency from Plaintiff's Equifax credit report, despite Plaintiff's lawful notices to Equifax of the falsity of the report, was negligent. In failing to remove AFNI's false reports of Plaintiff's alleged delinquency, Equifax breached its duty to Plaintiff to thoroughly investigate any and all credit reporting disputes and to maintain accurate credit histories for the Plaintiff, and acted with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 27. Equifax's negligent failure to remove AFNI's false reports of Plaintiff's alleged debt from Plaintiff's Equifax credit report has caused damages to Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, humiliation and embarrassment, a substantial decline in Plaintiff's credit rating, and other compensatory and consequential damages.
- 28. Equifax's failure to remove AFNI's false report of Plaintiff's alleged debt from Plaintiff's credit report, despite Plaintiff's lawful notices to Equifax of the falsity of the reports, was willful and wanton, entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages therefor.

Negligence - Transunion

2 3

Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 28 as if fully set forth herein.

4 5

29.

10

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

30. Transunion's failure to remove AFNI's false report of Plaintiff's alleged delinquency from Plaintiff's Transunion credit report, despite Plaintiff's lawful notices to Transunion of the falsity of the report, was negligent. In failing to remove AFNI's false reports of Plaintiff's alleged delinquency, Transunion breached its duty to Plaintiff to thoroughly investigate any and all credit reporting disputes and to

for Plaintiff's rights.

maintain accurate credit histories for the Plaintiff, and acted with conscious disregard

31. Transunion's negligent failure to remove AFNI's false reports of 12 | Plaintiff's alleged debt from Plaintiff's Transunion credit report has caused damages to Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, humiliation and embarrassment, a substantial decline in Plaintiff's credit rating, and other compensatory and consequential damages.

Transunion's failure to remove AFNI's false report of Plaintiff's alleged debt from Plaintiff's credit report, despite Plaintiff's lawful notices to Transunion of the falsity of the reports, was willful and wanton, entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages therefor.

Defamation - AFNI

- 32. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 31 as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. AFNI, with knowledge of the falsity of its statements, has published and continues to publish statements to others, including, but not limited to, Equifax, that Plaintiff was past due on the alleged AFNI account and that the AFNI account was in collection status. AFNI 's statements were made with conscious disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff.

34. AFNI's publication of false statements regarding Plaintiff's creditworthiness and Plaintiff's alleged delinquent debt amounts to defamation and defamation per se of the Plaintiff, entitling Plaintiff to compensatory, special, consequential and punitive damages therefor.

Defamation – Equifax

- 35. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 34 as if fully set forth herein.
- 36. Equifax, with knowledge of the falsity of its statements, has published and continues to publish statements to others, including, but not limited to, AFNI and other currently unknown entities and/or individuals who have accessed Plaintiff's Equifax credit report, that Plaintiff was past due on the alleged AFNI account and that AFNI was in collection status. In publishing such statements, Equifax acted with conscious disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff.
- 37. Equifax's publication of false statements regarding Plaintiff's creditworthiness and Plaintiff's alleged delinquent debt amounts to defamation and defamation per se of the Plaintiff, entitling Plaintiff to compensatory, special, consequential and punitive damages therefor.

Defamation - Transunion

- 38. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 37 as if fully set forth herein.
- 39. Transunion, with knowledge of the falsity of its statements, has published and continues to publish statements to others, including, but not limited to, AFNI and other currently unknown entities and/or individuals who have accessed Plaintiff's Equifax credit report, that Plaintiff was past due on the alleged AFNI account and that AFNI was in collection status. In publishing such statements, Transunion acted with conscious disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff.

Transunion's publication of false statements regarding Plaintiff's credit-worthiness and Plaintiff's alleged delinquent debt amounts to defamation and defamation per se of the Plaintiff, entitling Plaintiff to compensatory, special, consequential and punitive damages therefor

Negligent Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act - AFNI

- 40. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 39 as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. AFNI's false reporting to Equifax of Plaintiff's alleged delinquency is a violation of AFNI's duties as a furnisher of credit information pursuant to the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(a) and (b).
- 42. AFNI 's violations of the FCRA amount to negligent non-compliance with the FCRA as stated in 15 U.S.C. §16810 for which AFNI is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, for statutory damages, and for Plaintiff's reasonable fees associated with filing suit.

Negligent Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act - Equifax

- 43. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 42 as if fully set forth herein.
- 44. Equifax's repeated failure to remove the disputed item from Plaintiff's credit report despite knowledge of the falsity of the disputed item is a violation of Equifax's duty to ensure maximum possible accuracy of consumer reports under 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) and Equifax's duties regarding investigation of disputed items under 15 U.S.C. §1681i.
- 45. Equifax's failure to evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence, and its failure to make any and/or sufficient attempts to remove the disputed item from Plaintiff's credit report within a reasonable time following Equifax's receipt of Plaintiff's dispute is a violation of Equifax's duties regarding

investigation of disputed items under 15 U.S.C. §1681i. Equifax's violations of the FCRA amount to negligent non-compliance with the FCRA as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681o, for which Equifax is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, for statutory damages, and for Plaintiff's reasonable fees associated with filing suit.

5

6

Negligent Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act - Transunion

7 | 8

46. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 45 as if fully set forth herein.

9

10

47. Transunion's repeated failure to remove the disputed item from Plaintiff's credit report despite knowledge of the falsity of the disputed item is a violation of Transunion's duty to ensure maximum possible accuracy of consumer reports under 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) and Transunion's duties regarding investigation of disputed items under 15 U.S.C. §1681i.

12

13

14

15

16

11

Transunion's failure to evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence, and its failure to make any and/or sufficient attempts to remove the disputed item from Plaintiff's credit report within a reasonable time following Transunion's receipt of Plaintiff's dispute is a violation of Transunion's duties regarding

17

18

investigation of disputed items under 15 U.S.C. §1681i. Equifax's violations of the FCRA amount to negligent non-compliance with the FCRA as stated in 15 U.S.C.

1920

§16810, for which Transunion is liable to Plaintiff's actual damages, for

21

statutory damages, and for Plaintiff's reasonable fees associated with filing suit

2223

Willful Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act – AFNI

2425

48. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 47 as if fully set forth herein.

2627

49. AFNI 's false reporting to Equifax of Plaintiff's alleged delinquency, despite AFNI 's knowledge of the falsity of its reporting, is a willful violation of

AFNI's duties as a furnisher of credit information pursuant to the FCRA, as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(a) and (b).

50. Given AFNI 's knowledge of the falsity of its reporting, AFNI 's violations of the FCRA amount to willful non-compliance with the FCRA as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681n for which AFNI is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, for statutory damages, for punitive damages, and for Plaintiff's reasonable fees associated with filing suit.

Willful Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act - Equifax

- 51. Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 51 as if fully set forth herein.
- 52. Equifax's failure to remove the disputed item from Plaintiff's credit report despite knowledge of the falsity of the disputed item is a willful violation of Equifax's duty to ensure maximum possible accuracy of consumer reports as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) and Equifax's duties regarding investigation of disputed items under 15 U.S.C. §1681i.
- 53. Equifax's failure to evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's information, claims or evidence, and its failure to make any and/or sufficient attempts to remove the disputed item within a reasonable time following Equifax's receipt of Plaintiff's dispute is a willful violation of Equifax's duties regarding investigation of disputed items as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681i.
- 54. Equifax's violations of the FCRA amount to willful non-compliance with the FCRA as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681n for which Equifax is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, for statutory damages, for punitive damages and for Plaintiff's reasonable fees associated with filing suit.

Willful Violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act – Transunion

1

2

3

4

5

9

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 54 as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. Transunion's failure to remove the disputed item from Plaintiff's credit report despite knowledge of the falsity of the disputed item is a willful violation of Transunion's duty to ensure maximum possible accuracy of consumer reports as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) and Transunion's duties regarding investigation of disputed items under 15 U.S.C. §1681i.
- Transunion's failure to evaluate or consider any of Plaintiff's 57. information, claims or evidence, and its failure to make any and/or sufficient attempts to remove the disputed item within a reasonable time following Transunion's receipt 12 of Plaintiff's dispute is a willful violation of Transunion's duties regarding investigation of disputed items as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681i.
- Transunion's violations of the FCRA amount to willful non-compliance with the FCRA as stated in 15 U.S.C. §1681n for which Transunion is liable to Plaintiff for 16 | Plaintiff's actual damages, for statutory damages, for punitive damages and for Plaintiff's reasonable fees associated with filing suit.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Kenneth, respectfully demands the following:

- 1. Trial by jury on all issues so triable;
- Judgment against the Defendants for statutory, compensatory, 2. consequential, special and punitive damages;
 - For Plaintiff's fees and costs associated with filing suit; and, 3.
 - Any and all other relief to which Plaintiff may appear to be entitled. 4.

Case 2:16-cv-02604-FMO-RAO Document 1 Filed 04/15/16 Page 12 of 16 Page ID #:29

by: /s/ Kenneth Plaintiff In Pro Per DATED: 4/7/2016 General Delivery In Care of: 535 Box Canyon Road Canoga Park, California [91304-9998] KENNETH THOMAS PEREZ

VERIFICATION I, Kenneth, declare and state as follows: I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled matter. I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the content thereof, and the same is true of my own knowledge, except as to matters which are stated upon my own information and belief, which I believe to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 4/7/2016 at Los Angeles, California. Kenneth All Rights Reserved

Case 2:16-cv-02604: Feld Ot RASDISTRICTION OUT 1, CHIT HERALDISTRICTION OF CAJUF DANIA16 Page ID #:31 CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Che	eck box if you are repr	esenting yourself 🔀)	D	DEFENDANTS	(Check box if you are re	presenting yourself [])				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										
		•		AFN)						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)		(1.	IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES ONLY)					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information. KENNETH THOMAS PEREZ, Estate Office of the General Executor General Delivery In Care Of: 535 Box Canyon Road. Canoga Park, California [91304-9998]				Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information.						
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC			III. CITI	ZENSHIP OF PR	RINCIPAL PARTIES-For [Diversity Cases Only				
1. U.S. Government 3. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 2. U.S. Government 4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship				Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant) PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in this State 1 of Another State						
IV OPIGIN (Place an V	in and have only)									
	Removed from State Court	3. Remanded from Appellate Court	4. Reins Reope		ansferred from Another	. Multi- District itigation				
V. REQUESTED IN COM	MPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: 🔀 Yes	No	(Check "Yes" or	nly if demanded in com	plaint.)				
CLASS ACTION under	F.R.Cv.P. 23:	Yes 🔀 No	×	MONEY DEMA	NDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq. Perm	(Cite the U.S. Civil Statu lanent injunction, Civil po	te under which you are fili	ing and w	rite a hrief statemer		ctional statutes unless diversity)				
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one bo	ox only).								
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT	r. IN	MMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS				
375 False Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land	11 1	2 Naturalization	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights				
376 Qui Tam	120 Marine	245 Tort Product Liability	1	oplication 5 Other	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	830 Patent				
(31 USC 3729(a)) — 400 State	130 Miller Act	290 All Other Real Property		migration Actions	Sentence	840 Trademark				
☐ Reapportionment	140 Negotiable Instrument	TORTS	PERSO	TORTS ONAL PROPERTY	530 General 535 Death Penalty	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)				
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	150 Recovery of Overpayment &	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	37	0 Other Fraud	Other:	862 Black Lung (923)				
450 Commerce/ICC	Enforcement of Judgment	315 Airplane	☐ 37	1 Truth in Lending	540 Mandamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))				
Rates/Etc. 460 Deportation	151 Medicare Act	Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 380 Pro	0 Other Personal operty Damage	550 Civil Rights	864 SSID Title XVI				
470 Racketeer influ-	152 Recovery of	Slander	385	5 Property Damage	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee	865 RSI (405 (g))				
enced & Corrupt Org.	Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	330 Fed. Employers' Liability	- 110	ANKRUPTCY	Conditions of	FEDERAL TAX SUITS				
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV	153 Recovery of	340 Marine	42	2 Appeal 28	Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)				
850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange	Overpayment of Vet. Benefits	345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	US 42:	IC 158 3 Withdrawal 28 IC 157	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609				
890 Other Statutory	160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle		CIVIL RIGHTS	690 Other					
891 Agricultural Acts	190 Other	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	440	0 Other Civil Rights						
893 Environmental	Contract 195 Contract	☐ Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury-		1 Voting	710 Fair Labor Standards Act					
☐ Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Info.	Product Liability	☐ Med Malpratice	442	2 Employment 3 Housing/	720 Labor/Mgmt.					
☐ Act	196 Franchise	365 Personal Injury- Product Liability		commodations	740 Railway Labor Act					
896 Arbitration	REAL PROPERTY 210 Land	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		5 American with sabilities-	751 Family and Medical					
899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of	Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	Personal Injury Product Liability	Em	ployment 6 American with	Leave Act 790 Other Labor					
Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	230 Rent Lease &	368 Asbestos Personal Injury	☐ Dis	abilities-Other	Litigation 791 Employee Ret. Inc.					
State Statutes	Ejectment	Personal Injury Product Liability	448	8 Education	Security Act					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number	cv16	- 0	260A						
CV-71 (02/16)		17 % 0	IL COVER	200		Page 1 of 3				

Page 1 of 3

Case 2:16-cv-02604NFIRE PLATES DISTRIGITION OF AGREEMENT **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

QUESTION A: Was this case removed from state court?	STATE CASE WAS PEND	DING IN	THE COU	NTV OE:		(ARTIAL DI	MICIONIAN CACOUS	
Yes No	STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF:					INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:		
If "no, " skip to Question B. If "yes," check the	Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo					Western		
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to	Orange					Southern		
Question E, below, and continue from there.	Riverside or San Bernardino					Eastern		
OUECTION P. L. H. M. S. LG.								
QUESTION B: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a PLAINTIFF in this action?	B.1. Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Orange Co.? check one of the boxes to the right			Enter "So	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Division Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.			
Yes 😿 No				☐ NO. Cont	inue to Q	uestion B.2.		
If "no, " skip to Question C. If "yes," answer Question B.1, at right.	B.2. Do 50% or more of the defendants who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.) check one of the boxes to the right		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.					
			NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.					
QUESTION C: Is the United States, or	C.1. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs w	vho resi	de in the	I VEC V				
one of its agencies or employees, a DEFENDANT in this action?	district reside in Orange Co.? check one of the boxes to the right		ac are	YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Southern Divi Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and conf from there.				
Yes 🐼 No				NO. Continue to Question C.2.				
If "no, " skip to Question D. If "yes," answer Question C.1, at right.	C.2. Do 50% or more of the plaintiffs who reside in the district reside in Riverside and/or San Bernardino Counties? (Consider the two counties together.) check one of the boxes to the right		YES. Your case will initially be assigned to the Eastern Division. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.					
			NO. Your case will initially be assigned to the Western Division. Enter "Western" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.					
QUESTION D: Location of plaintiff	s and defendants?		Orang	A. ge County	1	B. erside or San ardino County	C. Los Angeles, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo County	
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or r reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave	nore of <i>plaintiffs who reside in this dis</i> blank if none of these choices apply	istrict (.)					≥ Edis Obispo County	
Indicate the location(s) in which 50% or more of <i>defendants who reside in this district</i> reside. (Check up to two boxes, or leave blank if none of these choice: apply.)								
D.1. Is there at least one a		т						
	No No		Í	O.2. Is there a		one answer in C	olumn B?	
		Yes 😿 No						
If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION.			If "yes," your case will initially be assigned to the					
Enter "Southern" in response to Question E, below, and continue from there.			EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question E, below.					
If "no," go to question D2 to the right.			If "no," your case will be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION.					
	,					onse to Question E		
QUESTION E: Initial Division?		 		INIT	LIAI DIVI	SION IN CACD		
Enter the initial division determined by Q	uestion A, B, C, or D above:	WES	ΓERN	1141		SIGNIN CACD		
QUESTION F: Northern Counties?		L	· · ·					
Oo 50% or more of plaintiffs or defendant	s in this district reside in Ventura Ca	anta D	arbara a	San Luia Obi-	no 22:::	tion?	.	
CV-71 (02/16)		unta Da	arbaid, Or	Jan Luis UDIS	ho conu	ues:	∕es 🔀 No	

Case 2:16-cv-0260494768 Pistright Cover Sheet Provided Page ID #:33

	IDENTICAL CA	SES : Has this act	tion been previously filed	in this court?		≫ NO		YES
	If yes, list case num	ber(s):						
IX(b).	RELATED CASE	S: Is this case re	ated (as defined below) t	o any civil or criminal case(s) pre	viously filed in this cc	ourt?		YES
	If yes, list case num	ber(s):						
	Civil cases are re	lated when they	(check all that apply):					
	A. Arise	from the same o	or a closely related transa	tion, happening, or event;				
	B. Call f	or determination	ı of the same or substanti	ally related or similar questions o	of law and fact; or			
				lication of labor if heard by differ				
	Note: That cases	may involve the :	same patent, trademark, o	or copyright is not, in itself, suffic	ient to deem cases re	lated.		
	A civil forfeiture	case and a crim	ina! case are related whe	n they (check all that apply):				
	A. Arise	from the same o	r a closely related transac	tion, happening, or event;				
	B. Call f	or determination	of the same or substanti	ally related or similar questions o	of law and fact; or			
	C. Invol labor if	ve one or more on the contract of the contract	lefendants from the crimi it judges.	nal case in common and would o	entail substantial dup	lication of		
	NATURE OF AT		×		DATE:	4/7/16	ġ.	
neitner	replaces nor supp	plements the filin	g and service of pleading	et is required by Local Rule 3-1. T s or other papers as required by	his Form CV-71 and t law, except as provide	he informati ed by local ru	on contair ules of cou	ned herein rt. For
		is, see separate ir	nstruction sheet (CV-071A).				
(ey to St	atistical codes relati		nstruction sheet (CV-071A).				
Nat	atistical codes relati ture of Suit Code 361		nstruction sheet (CV-071 A ty Cases: Substantive St. All claims for health insura). Atement of Cause of Action nce benefits (Medicare) under Title 1s , skilled nursing facilities, etc., for cer	8. Part A. of the Social Se	ecurity Act. as	amended. <i>I</i> er the progra	Also, am.
Nat	ture of Suit Code	ng to Social Securi Abbreviation	is truction sheet (CV-071 A by Cases: Substantive Stantive Stanti	atement of Cause of Action	8, Part A, of the Social Se tification as providers of	ecurity Act, as services unde	er the progr	am.
Nat 8	ture of Suit Code 361	ng to Social Securi Abbreviation HIA	ty Cases: Substantive St. All claims for health insural include claims by hospitals (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) All claims for "Black Lung" I 923) All claims filed by insured we have the substant of the subst	atement of Cause of Action nce benefits (Medicare) under Title 1 , skilled nursing facilities, etc., for cer	8, Part A, of the Social Se tification as providers of ederal Coal Mine Health	ecurity Act, as a services unde and Safety Ac	er the progra	am. 80 U.S.C.
Nat 8 8	ture of Suit Code 361 362	ng to Social Securi Abbreviation HIA BL	isy Cases: Substantive St. All claims for health insural include claims by hospitals (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) All claims for "Black Lung" I 923) All claims filed by insured vall claims filed for child's in	atement of Cause of Action nce benefits (Medicare) under Title 1; , skilled nursing facilities, etc., for cer penefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Foundation of the Foundat	8, Part A, of the Social Se tification as providers of ederal Coal Mine Health fits under Title 2 of the S (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	ecurity Act, as services unde and Safety Ac ocial Security	er the progra et of 1969. (3 Act, as ame	am. 80 U.S.C. nded; plus
Nat 8 8 8	ture of Suit Code 361 362 363	ng to Social Securi Abbreviation HIA BL DIWC	ty Cases: Substantive St: All claims for health insural include claims by hospitals (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) All claims for "Black Lung" I 923) All claims filed by insured vall claims filed for child's in. All claims filed for widows amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g	atement of Cause of Action nce benefits (Medicare) under Title 1; , skilled nursing facilities, etc., for cer penefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Foundation of the Foundat	8, Part A, of the Social Se tification as providers of ederal Coal Mine Health fits under Title 2 of the S (42 U.S.C. 405 (g)) d on disability under Titl	ecurity Act, as a services under and Safety Acocial Security are 2 of the Soci	er the progra et of 1969. (3 Act, as amed ial Security <i>i</i>	am. 80 U.S.C. nded; plus Act, as

CV-71 (02/16)